

# **PRESSURE AND TEMPERATURE EFFECTS IN MICRO-LASER ASSISTED MACHINING ( $\mu$ -LAM) OF SILICON CARBIDE**

**Amir R. Shayan, H. Bogac Poyraz, Deepak Ravindra and John A. Patten  
Manufacturing Research Center  
Western Michigan University  
Kalamazoo, MI**

## **KEYWORDS**

Ductile regime machining, high pressure phase transformation (HPPT), single crystal 4H-SiC, laser assisted machining (LAM).

## **ABSTRACT**

In the deformation and cutting process of semiconductors and ceramics, especially brittle materials such as silicon carbide (SiC), the presence of high pressure phase transformation (HPPT) is of great importance for accomplishing ductile regime machining (Patten, 2007). To augment the ductile regime machining of these nominally brittle materials, the high pressure phase can be preferentially heated and thermally softened by using concentrated energy sources such as laser beams (Dong, 2006). In this research, the effect of the pressure and temperature and the interactions of these two factors on the micro-laser assisted machining ( $\mu$ -LAM) process are studied by using a hybrid machining system that consists of a diamond stylus (tool) and infrared (IR) fiber laser heating source. The combinations of loading (pressure) with and without laser heating prior to loading are studied at various cutting depths and speeds. The laser achieves heating and thermal softening as evidenced by the increased ductility of the material. Notably, the results of scratch tests at  $1\mu\text{m}/\text{sec}$  show a doubling of the scratch depth which suggests a  $\sim 50\%$  reduction of hardness due to thermal softening by the laser heating. The results are studied by atomic force microscopy (AFM) and confirmed with white light interferometer microscopy to verify the experimental results.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Semiconductors and ceramics share common characteristics of being nominally hard and brittle, which stem from their covalent chemical bonding and crystal structure. Both types of materials are important in many engineering applications, but are particularly difficult to machine in traditional manufacturing processes due to their extreme hardness and brittleness. Ceramics have many desirable properties, such as excellent wear resistance, chemical stability, and the superb ability to retain strength at elevated temperatures. However, it is difficult to machine these materials, which remains a major obstacle that limits the wider application of these hard, but brittle, materials (Jahanmir, 1992). The plastic deformation in these brittle materials at room temperature is much less than in metals, which means they are more susceptible to fracture during material removal processes. Surface cracks generated during machining are subsequently removed in lapping and polishing processes, which significantly increases the machining cost. In ultra fine surface machining, such as precision machining the surface of an optical lens or mirror, developing a cost effective method to achieve a flawless surface poses great challenges. In many engineering applications, products require a high quality surface finish and close tolerances to function properly. This is often the case for products made of semiconductor or ceramic materials. Currently, fine grinding, lapping and polishing are typically used to obtain smooth fracture-free surfaces for semiconductor and ceramic materials. These machining methods are capable of producing satisfactory surface finish, but they are costly processes in terms of tool

cost and machining time. Consequently, machining these mirror-like surface finishes contribute significantly in the total cost of a part. In some cases, grinding alone can account for 60-90% of the final product (Wobker, 1993). The real challenge is to produce an ultra precision surface finish in these nominally brittle materials at low machining cost.

Current limitations for brittle material machining include the high cost of processing and product reliability. The cost is mainly due to the high tool cost, rapid tool wear, long machining time, low production rate and the manufacturing of satisfactory surface figure and form. The low product reliability is primarily due to the occurrence of surface/subsurface damage and brittle fracture. To overcome these obstacles, developing advanced precision machining techniques is required. Ductile regime machining operations, a very promising precision machining method, has been continuously studied in the last two decades (Blake, 1990, Blackley, 1994, Morris, 1995, Leung, 1998, Sreejith, 2001, Yan, 2002, 2004, Patten, 2003, 2005). Cost effective methods for precision part using laser assisted machining techniques continues to be a critical issue (Dong and Patten, 2007, Rebro, 2002). Recently Suthar (2008) analyzed the laser heating analytically and numerically with good agreement to experimental results (Dong, 2006).

Scratch experiments are chosen to be the principle method in this study. Scratch testing is a better candidate for evaluating machining than indenting because the scratching parameters are more applicable to the machining process, such as depth of cut, width of cut and cutting speed parameters.

The objective of the current study is to determine the effect of temperature and pressure in the  $\mu$ -LAM of the single crystal 4H-SiC semiconductors using scratch tests. The scratch tests examine the effect of temperature in thermal softening of the high pressure phases formed under the diamond tip. The tests also evaluate the difference with and without irradiation of the laser beam at a constant loading and cutting speed. The laser heating effect is verified by atomic force microscopy (AFM) and white light interferometric measurements of the laser heated scratch grooves.

## EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The IR diode laser used in this investigation is a Furukawa 1480nm 400mW IR fiber laser with a Gaussian profile and beam diameter of 10 $\mu$ m. The IR laser beam is guided from the diode laser through a 10 $\mu$ m fiber optic cable to the ferrule, which is attached to the diamond stylus. A cross section of the  $\mu$ -LAM operation is shown in Figure 1. In this setup, the IR laser beam passes through the diamond tip (tool) and impinges on the SiC work piece material. The laser emerges from a 90° conical single crystal diamond tip with 5 $\mu$ m radius spherical end, as shown in Figure 2.





FIGURE 1. A SCHEMATIC CROSS SECTION OF THE  $\mu$ -LAM PROCESS.



(a)




FIGURE 2. DIAMOND TIP ATTACHMENT. (a) 5  $\mu\text{M}$  RADIUS DIAMOND TIP ATTACHED ON THE END OF THE FERRULE USING EPOXY, (b) CLOSE UP ON DIAMOND TIP EMBEDDED IN THE SOLIDIFIED EPOXY.




FIGURE 3.  $\mu$ -LAM SYSTEM USED IN EXPERIMENTS.

The  $\mu$ -LAM experimental setup is shown in Figure 3. The equipment used to carry out the scratch tests was the Universal Micro-

Tribometer (UMT) from the Center for Tribology Research Inc. (CETR). This equipment was developed to perform comprehensive micro-mechanical tests of coatings and materials at the micro scale. This precise equipment allows for cutting speeds as low as  $1\mu\text{m}/\text{sec}$  at nanometric cutting depths.

The specimens are single crystal 4H-SiC wafers (provided by Cree Inc). The primary flat is the  $\{1010\}$  plane with the flat face parallel to the  $\langle 1120 \rangle$  direction. The primary flat is oriented such that the chord is parallel with a specified low index crystal plane. The cutting direction is along the  $\langle 1010 \rangle$  direction.

In this experiment, two scratches have been employed; with and without laser heating. The results obtained from these tests are then compared to previously obtained results (Dong and Patten, 2005). The load used for the scratch tests is 2.5g ( $\sim 25\text{mN}$ ) with a cutting speed of  $1\mu\text{m}/\text{sec}$ . Previously performed scratch tests with cutting speeds of  $305\mu\text{m}/\text{sec}$  are reported here for comparison to the present work (Dong and Patten, 2005). Table 1 summarizes the experimental conditions.

TABLE 1. SPECIFICATIONS OF THE SCRATCHES.

Scratch No.	Loading g (mN)	Machining Condition	Cutting speed ( $\mu\text{m}/\text{sec}$ )	Laser Power (mW)
1*	2.5 (25)	w/o laser	305*	0
2*	2.5 (25)	w/ laser	305*	350**
3	2.5 (25)	w/o laser	1	0
4	2.5 (25)	w/ laser	1	350**

\*Experiments performed previously (Dong and Patten, 2005), \*\*350 mW is the laser power, approximately 150 mW is actually delivered to the work piece material, the balance of the laser power is lost due to scattering and reflections (Dong, 2006).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

AFM measurements, shown in Figures 4 and 5, have been used to measure the groove size and to study the laser heating effect of the scratches made on SiC. The results of all the scratch tests are presented in the Table 2, including the experiments at higher speed of  $305\mu\text{m}/\text{sec}$  conducted previously.




FIGURE 4. AFM IMAGE OF THE SCRATCH #3 (NO LASER HEATING, 25mN LOAD, 1 $\mu$ m/sec SCRATCHING SPEED).




FIGURE 5. AFM IMAGE OF THE SCRATCH #4 (W/ LASER HEATING, 25mN LOAD, 1 $\mu$ m/sec SCRATCHING SPEED).

TABLE 2. AVERAGE GROOVE DEPTHS MEASURED ON AFM.

Scratch #	Machining Condition	Cutting speed ( $\mu$ m/sec)	Average Groove Depth (nm)
1*	w/o laser	305*	41
2*	w/ laser	305*	46
3	w/o laser	1	54
4	w/ laser	1	90

\*Experiments performed previously (Dong and Patten, 2005)

The experimental differences between the scratch test results performed at high speed 305 $\mu$ m/sec (at UNC Charlotte) and low speed 1  $\mu$ m/sec (at WMU), when comparing the scratches without laser heating can be attributed to the different test equipment (profilometer and tribometer respectively), operator variability, and other test conditions, including different AFMs used to make the measurements.

The applied load (thrust force) was kept constant (25mN) throughout the entire experiment enabling the evaluation of heating effects on the material. The high pressure phase, due to the HPPT, of the 4H-SiC occurs within the contact interface as a result of externally applied pressure (>25GPa) and is the common phenomenon in all of the scratches made on the SiC specimen. As the result of heating by the laser beam, the temperature of the surface material adjacent to the diamond stylus rises to achieve the desired thermal softening. Previous work on silicon demonstrated that the temperature increased to ~600 $^{\circ}$ C (Dong, 2006 and Suthar, 2008), which thermally softened the HPPT phase and assisted the machining process.

The depth of cut is a crucial parameter and if it exceeds the critical depth (ductile to brittle transition depth), this will shift the ductile regime cutting to an unfavorable brittle cutting condition. Brittle mode cutting is avoided in this study.

In the previous set of scratches performed at 305 $\mu$ m/sec, the difference in the depth of cut for w/ and w/o laser heating was measurable but not significant, indicating some but not much laser heating and thermal softening. In the current set of scratches with 1 $\mu$ m/sec cutting speed, the depth of cut significantly increases with laser heating, nearly doubling, from 54 (w/o

laser heating) to 90nm (see Figure 6). This latter result indicates significant laser heating and resultant thermal softening occurred. This result shows that temperature plays a significant role in enhancing the ductile regime machining of 4H-SiC specimen at this speed.




FIGURE 6. AVERAGE GROOVE DEPTH MEASURED WITH AFM IN (nm) WITH TWO DIFFERENT SPEEDS AND W/ AND W/O LASER.

Based upon the scratch (groove) depth and load, the hardness of SiC with laser heating is estimated to be 13GPa, which is one-half of the room temperature value of 26GPa. Estimating the temperature achieved for the laser heated SiC, based upon published hardness-temperature data (Yonenaga, 2000) suggests a temperature increase of 700-800°C in the scratch #4. At the laser power utilized in these experiments, 350mW (150 mW actually delivered to the work piece surface), thus significant laser heating is achieved at the low speed, while minimal heating occurred at the higher speed, as reported in previous work. Thus increasing the cutting speed reduces the thermal softening in contrast to the enhanced effect as seen at the lower cutting speed.

## CONCLUSION

Laser heating was successfully demonstrated as evidenced by the significant increase in groove depth, i.e., reduced hardness, indicative of enhanced thermal softening. AFM measurements of the laser-heat assisted scratch grooves show deeper and wider grooves compared to scratches made without the laser heating assisted methods; which indicates favorable thermal softening effects.

## FUTURE WORK

Related work on laser heating of 4H-SiC by using nano-indentation techniques is in progress. This work will provide additional insight into the mechanism of the combined pressure and temperature effects during laser assisted machining. This will allow a better understanding of the complex interplay of the pressure and temperature effects on  $\mu$ -LAM machining processes. Force data, normal (thrust) and friction (cutting), has been collected for scratches 3 and 4 and will be analyzed with respect to the depth of cut, i.e., normalized to determine the relative (and counterplay) effects of increased depth and softer material response associated with the laser heating. Additional cutting tests using the  $\mu$ -LAM process will be conducted using high power laser systems, power on the order of 1 to 100 watts, which allows larger cuts at higher speeds.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank Dr. Valery Bliznyuk and James Atkinson from Paper Engineering, Chemical Engineering and Imaging (PCI) Department of the Western Michigan University for providing the AFM results, also special thanks goes to Dr. Muralidhar Ghantasala and Kamlesh Suthar from Mechanical and Aeronautical Engineering Department, Western Michigan University for their support, and Cree Inc. for providing 4H-SiC wafers. This work was partially supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation (NSF) CMMI-0757339.

## REFERENCES

- Blackley W.S. and Scattergood R.O. (1994). Journal of Engineering for Industry, 116, 264-266.
- Blake P.N. and Scattergood R.O. (1990). Journal of the American Ceramic Society, 73(4), 949-957.
- Dong L. (2006). PhD Dissertation, University of North Carolina at Charlotte.
- Dong L. and Patten J.A. (2005). Unpublished work, UNC Charlotte.

Dong L. and Patten J.A. (2007). Advanced Laser Applications Conference & Expo (ALAC 2007), September 24-25, 2007 Boston, MA.

Jahanmir S., Ives L.K., Ruff A.W. and Peterson M.B. (1992). "Ceramic Machining: Assessment of Current Practice and Research Needs in the United States", NIST Special Publication, Vol. 834, 102.

Leung T.P., Lee W.B. and Lu X.M. (1998). Journal of Materials Processing Technology, 73, 42-48.

Morris J.C., Callahan D.L., Kulik J., Patten J.A. and Scattergood, R. (1995). Journal of American Ceramic Society, 78(8), 2015-2020.

Patten J.A., Fesperman R., Kumar S., McSpadden S., Qu J., Lance M., Nemanich R. and Huening J. (2003) Applied Physics Letters, 83(23), 4740-4742.

Patten J.A., Gao W. and Yasuto K. (2005). J MANUF SCI E-T ASME, 127(3), 522-532.

Patten, J.A., Jacob, J., Bhattacharya, B. and Grevstad, A. (2007). Transactions NAMRI/SME, Volume 35, pp. 89-96.

Rebro P.A., Pfefferkorn F.E., Shin Y.C. and Incropera F.P. (2002). Transactions of NAMRI, Vol. 30, pp. 153-160.

Sreejith P.S. and Ngoi B.K.A. (2001). International Journal of Machine Tools & Manufacture 41, 1831-1843.

Suthar K.J., Patten J.A., Dong L. and Abdel-Aal H. (2008). Proceedings of 2008 ASME International Conference on Manufacturing Science and Engineering (MSEC), p. 160-161 (paper #72195) Evanston, IL, 7-10 Oct, 2008.

Wobker H.G. and Tonshoff H.K. (1993). International Conference on Machining of Advanced Materials, NIST Special Publication 847, Gaithersburg, MD, 455-463.

Yan J.W., Maekawa K., Tamaki, J, et al. (2004a). JSME INT J C-MECH SY 47(1): 29-36.

Yan J.W., Syoji K. and Kuriyagawa T. (2002). J. MATER PROCESS TECH 121(2-3): 363-372.

Yonenaga I., Hoshi T., and Usui A. (2000). J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 12 10319-10323.