Agenda:

6:00 pm Gibbs farm: Tour of Gibbs Farm and the Permaculture Gardens. There is limited parking on site please carpool, or park and walk from the Asylum lake Parkview Lot or College of Engineering lots.

Note: If you cannot attend the Gibbs tour, the ALPMC meeting will be hosted at the Gibbs house at 7:00.

I. Call to Order 7:00 pm

II. Roll Call: Council Members: Duane Hampton, Tyler Bassett, James Timmons, Paul Scott, Lauri Holmes, Arthur Falk, Steve Kohler, Steve Keto, Mark Frever. (quorum Met)
Guests: Paul MacNellis, Susan Andress, David Nesius, Phil Micklin, Kay Chase (alternate)

III. Adoption of October 2016 Agenda: Approved

IV. Approval of September 2016 Meeting Minutes: Approved. NOTE: minutes are now available on line. Comment from Council that minutes should be approved by council BEFORE being posted on line.

V. Old Business:

a. Governing documents review update: Duane read the following e-mail detailing his conversation with WMU Facilities management administration.

   NOTE: I have substituted generic titles in for specific names and removed personal sections that reference others not able to respond.

   Notes from Duane Hampton’s discussion with WMU, Facilities Management administration

   Wednesday. They wanted to know what we wanted to change. But what they really wanted was to make it clear that change could be messy, costly, and probably unnecessary. It was clear that they didn’t want to open that can of worms. They said it would be OK to make housekeeping
changes that only involved the bylaws. But if the deed of conservation restrictions were opened, they thought the community would want to get involved, as was done when the governing documents were originally worked out. They did not want to go through that process again. If so, there would be lawyer costs and document recording fees, which the council would pay out of the endowment. But that wasn't their major objection, in my opinion. They just didn't want to go through it again because it could get messy, and for what? They confirmed and enlarged all of the points. They said that as long as the community doesn't object to the asphalt parking lots, then who cares if we are out of compliance? In fact, we could use the existence of the parking lots to show that we, as a board, are responding to the public need for access, and we are evolving in a community-friendly way. As for the issue with the Environmental Institute, They said we could discuss at our meeting who the logical successor should be, and decide upon a successor, getting it in the minutes. Then the minutes become like "case law" establishing changes in our governing documents.

I admitted while I regretted that we were out of compliance with the governing documents, and wanted to rectify that to avoid future confrontation, my biggest concern was about Steve Keto and how the documents say his administrative services are provided by the Environmental Institute. That language was obsolete when Cary DeLong was hired full-time, or certainly by the time she left and Steve was hired. They were very forthcoming about how we could get 4-8 hours per month of administrative help to take minutes and update the web site and post information to the web site. They also assured me that they value what Steve does, and want him to be free of those burdens so his knowledge and passion can achieve maximum value for the Preserve. The message was that they are our friends.

Let's please talk about this at Thursday's meeting. I'm sorry, that some of you won't be there, but you have the outlines of what we will likely discuss.

The following is an e-mail conversation from Jay Emerson read at the meeting:

Notes by Charles (Jay) Emerson concerning governing document review.

What is obvious to me from reading this discussion is that no one at that meeting has ever read any of the governing documents, and the ALPMC representatives who were there have no idea of what changes governing documents committee are proposing to make. I sent the committee's recommended edits of the Management Framework and By-Laws on July 13 and September 12 and expected the ALPMC Chair and the Natural Areas Manager to review these changes and provide comment. AT NO TIME HAVE WE PROPOSED TO MAKE ANY CHANGES TO
THE DECLARATION OF CONSERVATION RESTRICTIONS!!! The Restrictions clearly state that distributions from the Kalamazoo Community Foundation endowment are to be used only for "costs for development, operation, and maintenance of the Preserve for passive recreational use.

If you would please take the time to review the comments and recommended changes you will see that we have several questions on items like Council membership and distributions from the endowment for the VP for Business and Finance that must be answered before we can proceed. Duane, will have to set up another meeting with FM administration and, this time, please go into it fully informed. Paul MacNellis told me at the September meeting that he would be willing to be part of a meeting to answer any questions about the history of the fight to save Asylum Lake.

When you do read the proposed changes you will note that our current management framework requires the ALPMC to review and update the framework and by-laws at least every five years and it requires the Council to submit annual financial and activity reports to the VP for Finance. I do not think these review and reporting requirements are unreasonable. I agree with Steve K that ignoring the provisions of the legal framework within which the preserve is operated is a slippery slope (I would invite everyone to read Article VII, the Indemnification clause in the by-laws). This Council needs to get its act together and operate as a Policy and Management Council. This extends not only to the governing documents but also to how business is conducted at the meetings. The September meeting was unnecessarily lengthy (over 2 hours) and uninformative. I would have appreciated some prior supporting material on the current plans for Colony Farm. And, frankly, I thought the unstructured way in which the meeting was conducted was insulting to the members of my neighborhood association who had to wait for hours to present their concerns on the deer nuisance. Some of us have day jobs. Jay Emerson

(There are copies of the governing documents and proposed changes attached)

Council Discussion: Most changes are general housekeeping to bring documents up to date with reality of current preserve. Responsibility of council for updating governing document?. What documents need changes? What changes can be done in house and which need board of trustee approval? Wisdom of ignoring non-compliance issues (parking lots)?. Responsibility of WMU administration for legal council as stated in article VII of bylaws? If violations are ignored the document has no teeth. Was offer by WMU FM administration for clerical services in exchange for dropping updates to governing documents? Representation on Council does not conform to representation defined in documents. What changes do WMU FM see as a concern? Original document took 5 years to craft would be irresponsible to let it die due to non-compliance issues that could be solved by document review and updates. History of the council and relationship with WMU discussed. Council was to take heat of university for management decisions at Preserve. Regardless if WMU FM has veto power it is the charge of the council to bring any and all changes forward for consideration. NO change should be omitted just on the threat of a veto.
The council must do its job and WMU can take heat for veto and non-compliance issues going forward. This is a WMU legal document and they are responsible for legal council. Money cannot be appropriated from Asylum Lake Fund WITHOUT Council vote. Council should not role over or abdicate its responsibility for keeping governing documents alive. Comment by WMU FM “Who care about non-compliance” is irresponsible way to steward the Preserve. Council agreed to gather all suggested changes and present them to WMU FM. Based on that result the council will discuss and make recommendations as to next step. Also discussed, operations of meetings, meeting length, order of speakers. Public comments, courtesy to guests.

**Motion was made to substitute Representation by Environmental Institute (now defunct) with representation by Institute for the Environment and Sustainability (Steve Kohler position now)**

**NOTE:** the council agreed that this substitution DOES NOT include the responsibility for clerical help to council that was provided by Environmental Institute.

**Council Discussion:** who pays for clerical? Is clerical help, WMU staff? Students? Temporary Services? Is support from WMU reliable? Steve Keto indicated the council is under no deadline to find clerical help. If the council feels he is the best value and service to the council as clerical he will be happy to continue in this responsibility. To keep council representation balance (in favor of WMU representation) who will fill the department seat now open. Office for Sustainability was top choice of Council. Dr. Harold Glasser will need to be contacted to determine if we can move forward with this.

**Motion Approved. NOTE:** First ask Office for Sustainability if they wish to nominate a representative, If not ask WMU administration to appoint a representative from another department. Steve Keto will contact Dr. Glasser to see if his group is interested in becoming involved in Asylum Lake.

b. **Trail Updates:** No information on if or when an engineer will visit the preserve to survey trail condition and make recommendations. Phil M. would like to be included in the walk through. Council discussion about who would be best to accomplish this FM staff engineer or outside vendor. Council discussed past approved trail plans, alternatives, and level of accessibility. Council discussed how recommendations and terminology have changed over time, barrier free, ADA, mobility. More impute is needed for trail committee to compare plans. WMU FM negative on ADA compliance it was explained to administration that better accessibility does not mean fully ADA compliant. Trail committee should meet. Paul M., Lauri H., Mark F., Paul S., Tom E., Phil M. should attend this could be held in Marks office in the Physical Plant. Council asked for report from trails committee on November 10 meeting.

There was a brief discussion about membership and attendance by ALPMC members. Difficulty in getting quorum at all meetings. What is minimum
attendance rate and what can council do to encourage members to commit to the meetings.

c. **Preserve safety;** A representative from WMU Public Safety will be invited to November meeting to discuss issues and enforcement at the preserve.

d. **BTR 2.0 EDA Grant status;** Federal economic development grant was awarded for development. The project will begin developing a Due Care plan to handle contaminants on the site. Firms to do work will be identified and a report will be available by December 2016. Comments by council about management of the site and control of invasive plants that could damage the Preserve.

e. **Clerical assistance for ALPMC;** partly discussed under governing document discussion. Council agrees this is a good idea and while WMU FM is willing to assist here, the financial responsibility for this positions pay is that of the Council.

VI. **New Business**

a. **ALPMC project balance.** There is money left in this account from last round of Oak Forest invasive management. As this was already approved by council for this work we can apply this to a current round of work by Wildtype. Dr. Todd Barkman has given his OK to manage his research plots for invasive shrubs and trees. Wildtype will avoid all Oak seedlings and if requested save the Silverbell trees (Halesia carolina)Steve Keto will get a estimate from Wildtype and bring it to the council in November (attached) Money also available in Prescribed burn account. Another attempt will be made to burn 25 acres of prairie in spring 2017, so account will stay open. Council must still spend money to prepare for the burn such as mowing, survey, tools etc.

b. **CFO Orchid update.** (see BTR 2.0 map with orchid points) There are two individuals blooming this year making a total of three rare S. ovalis orchids on site.

VII. **Project/Special Committee Reports:**

VIII. **Public Comments:** Erosion increasing on several trails.

IX. **Council/Staff Comments:** Parkview Hilll received a grant to restore the Fen on the property. An ornamental variety of Viburnum has invaded the fen among other species. Steve Keto has provided tours and education at Asylum Lake to WMU students, staff and outside groups, including some from Grand Rapids. Steve Keto is in discussion with the City of Kalamazoo Utilities about maintenance of sanitary
sewer line on the North shore of the preserve. This is a steep narrow trail not suited for equipment used to maintain sewer infrastructure. 

We do not yet have a location for the November 10, 2016 Meeting. Mark and Duane will help to secure a venue.

X. Adjournment; 8:50