Goal Attainment Scaling (GAS) Software for Progress Analytics

Product:

Goal Attainment Scaling (GAS) Software for Progress Analytics

Development Stage:

Beta Testing/Pre-Market Validation

Primary Authors:

Ann Chapleau, Department of Occupational Therapy, Jennifer Harrison, School of Social Work

Scientific Publication:

Unpublished

License Status:

Available for licensing

IP Status:

Copyright

Reference:

2016-014

Contact:

Steve Weber Ph.D. steven.weber@wmich.edu 269 387-8282

Goal setting is a useful and universal exercise. Typical goal setting is a binary process, in which the established goal is either met or unmet, resulting in success or failure. This approach provides limited outcome data. For example, subjects who are progressing at a slower rate, but still demonstrating some improvement, would have their

goal achievement measured as "failure." Conversely, a subject who is exceeding or outpacing original expectations would have their goal achievement measured simply as "met."

In response to the shortcomings of binary metrics for tracking progress, Goal Attainment Scaling (GAS) was developed in 1968 to measure progress in various fields such as healthcare and education. GAS uses a 5-point scale to measure progress for a given goal, ranging from a baseline to less-than-expected, to expected, to more-than-expected, and finally to much more than expected. The GAS method is particularly suited to measuring incremental, meaningful changes over time, such as medical and functional changes experienced in rehabilitation therapies and student learning throughout a semester.

Although numerous binary goal setting software applications exist, the authors were unaware of any GAS-focused software, and therefore saw the need to develop a user-friendly software package that not only implements the GAS methodology but also takes advantage of database and digital computing power to enhance the user experience and facilitate administration of programs.

Technology Description

Researchers at WMU have created a software application that implements Goal Attainment Scaling (GAS) using a 5-point scale to measure progress for a given goal, ranging from a baseline to less-than-expected, to expected, to more-than-expected, to much more than expected. The user-friendly software allows enhanced implementation of the GAS method of monitoring progress towards goal achievement.

Users as well as administrators benefit from this innovation, as it facilitates individualized goal setting and scaling, enables monitoring and analysis of small but meaningful changes over time, automates manual data entry and analysis, and allows for comparison of scores among multiple subjects with different goals, providing a time- and cost-effective outcome measurement suite. The WMU software provides a highly individualized outcome measurement tool, with changes in score easily computed for single or multiple goals. Aggregate measures of improvement can also be provided.

One important and unique feature of the GAS software is that it employs a hierarchy of user types, including



subjects/students/patients, clinicians/instructors, supervisors, administrators, etc. Different user types can have different permissions and access privileges to different features and data sets. For instance, goal setters (therapists, instructors, etc.) can update their subjects' goals and criteria (e.g., via kiosk, laptop, mobile device). Administrators can log in to view individual or overall program evaluation data. Users can view up-to-date statistics of their progress.

The GAS software also provides customizable analytics and statistical reports in realtime, which allows for monitoring and analysis at any desired point throughout the GAS process. Customizable

Potential Benefits

- User-friendly software allows enhanced implementation of GAS method of monitoring progress towards goaloriented achievements
- Allows for comparison of scores among multiple subjects with different goals

dashboards are useful for program evaluation reports, when comparing outcomes among varied providers and programs within an organization.

An additional feature is the ability to communicate progress between the subject and goal setter, using comment fields, making the tool useful for telehealth purposes. The GAS software can measure both subject-perceived change over time as well as providerperceived change over time. Providers can provide feedback to subjects via the software, to facilitate increased selfawareness or to encourage the subject to continue treatment as usual or to alter the intervention, before the next

- Provides communication between subject and goal setter for remote telehealth
- Eliminates the need for manual data entry and analysis, providing a timeand cost-effective outcome measurement

scheduled office visit.

Two generic examples of the GAS software being applied to specific cases are shown in Figures 1 and 2. Figure 1 shows a comparison of binary evaluation/achievement of a physical therapy treatment vs. goal attainment scaling for the same goal, provided to an infant with motor delays, over three months. Figure 2 shows a comparison of binary evaluation/ achievement of an occupational therapy student learning goal vs. goal attainment scaling for the same goal, a learning goal to increase knowledge in a specific practice area, over the course of a semester.

- Enables monitoring and analysis of small but meaningful changes over time
- Implements a hierarchy of user types, such as subjects/students/patients, clinicians/instructors, supervisors, administrators, etc.

Figure 1. Comparison of GAS v. Binary for Healthcare

| Typical binary goal setting, i.e., success or | Goal: When supported at the pelvis, the child will sit and use both hands to play |
|---|---|
| failure to achieve | with toy for 60 seconds |
| Tallule to achieve | with toy for ou seconds |

| Goal Attainment Scaling (GAS) Objectives/Measurement | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 0 (pre-treatment/baseline) | 1 (less than expected) | 2 (expected outcome) | 3 (better than | 4 (much better than | | |
| | | | expected) | expected) | | |
| When supported at the pelvi | When supported at the pelvis, the child will: | | | | | |
| Sit without arching her | Sit without using her | Sit & use both hands | Sit erect at the trunk | Sit erect, using both | | |
| trunk for 10 seconds | hands for support for | to play with toy for | & use both hands to | hands to play with | | |
| | 30 seconds | 60 seconds | play with toy for 60 | toy for 60 seconds & | | |
| | | | seconds | rotate to either side | | |
| | | | | to reach for a toy | | |



Figure 2. Comparison of GAS v. Binary for Education

| Typical binary goal setting, i.e., success | Goal: I will become proficient in completing assessments for clients and complete |
|--|---|
| or failure to achieve | documents with minimal corrections by mid-semester |

| Goal Attainment Scaling (GAS) Objectives/Measurement | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 0 (pre- | 1 (less than | 2 (expected outcome) | 3 (better than expected) | 4 (much better than | | | |
| treatment/baseline) | expected) | | | expected) | | | |
| When assigned assessment task, the student responds: | | | | | | | |
| I have read no articles related to sensory strategies | I will read 5 articles related to sensory strategies for treatment of ADHD, | I will read 5 articles related to sensory strategies for treatment of ADHD, | I will read five articles related to sensory strategies for treatment of ADHD, and will share | I will read five articles related to sensory strategies for treatment of ADHD, and will share | | | |
| | by end of semester | by midterm | summary of findings to my peers, by midterm | summary of findings to my peers, by week 4 | | | |