

**DEFENSE EVALUATION FORM
WESTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY
CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY**

At the conclusion of the defense meeting the committee, using the following scale and open comments section, should evaluate the graduate student on the dimensions listed below. The rankings should represent the view of the committee as a whole. Please make the ratings are based on currently demonstrated level of competence (not adjusted for level of training).

Student: _____ **Meeting Date:** _____ **Project (circle one):** **THESIS** or **DISSERTATION**

Evaluation Scale		
NA = Not Applicable	1 = Poor	2 = Fair
3 = Satisfactory	4 = Very good	5 = Excellent

Specific Performance Domains	Rating
1. Editorial quality of the written document (e.g., free of typos, references complete)	
2. Scholarly/Scientific quality of the written document	
3. Quality of the presentation (including both the slides and oral communication)	
4. Ability to understand and respond to questions/comments in a professional/scholarly fashion	
Comments to support rankings or on other areas of strength or areas for improvement:	

Overall Evaluation	Rating
1. Global rating of document and defense meeting	

Committee Members

_____	_____
(print name)	(signature)
_____	_____
(print name)	(signature)
_____	_____
(print name)	(signature)
_____	_____
(print name)	(signature)

Student signature * _____ Date _____

* My signature on this form indicates only that these results have been shared with me.