Anxiety Made Visible: Multiple Reports of Anxiety and Rejection Sensitivity

Our study investigated anxiety and rejection sensitivity. In particular, we examined how participant self-ratings of state and trait anxiety and rejection sensitivity would differ from the ratings of others, namely, the close friends of participants.

**Literature Overview**

Anxiety and rejection sensitivity are two important facets of psychological functioning that have received much attention in the literature. For example, Ronen and Baldwin (2010) demonstrated....

**Method**

**Participants**

Participants were 80 university students (35 men, 45 women) whose mean age was 20.25 years ($SD = 1.68$). Approximately 70% of participants were European American, 15% were African American, 9% were Hispanic American, and 6% were Asian American. They received course credit for their participation.

**Procedure**
Recruitment. We placed flyers about the study on bulletin boards around campus, and the study was included on the list of open studies on the Psychology Department website. To reduce bias in the sample, we described the study as a “personality study” rather than specifically mentioning our target traits of anxiety and rejection sensitivity.

Session 1: Psychiatric diagnoses. During the initial interview session, doctoral level psychology students assessed participants for psychiatric diagnoses. Eighteen percent of the sample met the criteria for generalized anxiety disorder according to the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM–IV Axis I Disorders (First, Gibbon, Spitzer, & Williams, 1996).

Session 2: Assessments. All participants attended a follow-up session to complete assessments. Participants were instructed to bring a friend with them who would complete the other-report measures.

Self-report measures. We first administered several self-report measures, as follows.

State and trait anxiety. Participants took the State–Trait Anxiety Inventory for Adults (STAI–A; Spielberger, Gorsuch, Lushene, Vagg, & Jacobs, 1983), a 40-item self-report measure to assess anxiety.


Other-report measures. We also included other-report measures to obtain independent sources of information about participants’ levels of anxiety and rejection sensitivity.

State and trait anxiety. We adapted the STAI–A so that questions referred to the target participant rather than the self.

Rejection sensitivity. We adapted the RSQ so that questions referred to the target participant rather than the self.
Results

State and Trait Anxiety

State anxiety.

*Self-report data.* For state anxiety, participant self-report data indicated that participants were significantly less likely....

*Other-report data.* For state anxiety, other-report data indicated that friends of participants were significantly more likely....

Trait anxiety.

*Self-report data.* For trait anxiety, participant self-report data indicated that participants were significantly less likely....

*Other-report data.* For trait anxiety, other-report data indicated that friends of participants were significantly more likely....

Rejection Sensitivity

The results for rejection sensitivity paralleled those for anxiety, demonstrating that....

Discussion

Strengths and Limitations

Some of the strengths of our research were....

Directions for Future Research

In the future, we hope that researchers will consider multiple sources of information when making assessments of anxiety. We also recommend....
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