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## Interpreting the Benchmark Comparisons Report

To focus discussions about the importance of student engagement and to guide institutional improvement efforts, NSSE created five Benchmarks of Effective Educational Practice: Level of Academic Challenge, Active and Collaborative Learning, Student-Faculty Interaction, Enriching Educational Experiences, and Supportive Campus Environment. This Benchmark Comparisons Report compares the performance of your institution with your selected comparison groups. In addition, it provides comparisons with two sets of highly engaging institutions, those with benchmarks in the top $50 \%$ and top $10 \%$ of all NSSE institutions.

Each benchmark is an index of responses to several NSSE questions. Because NSSE questions have different response sets, each question's response set was rescaled from zero to 100 , and students' rescaled responses were then averaged. Thus a benchmark score of zero would mean that every student chose the lowest response option for every item, and 100 would mean every student chose the highest response to every item. Although benchmarks are reported on a 0-100 scale, they are not percentages.

Additional details regarding how benchmarks are created can be found on the NSSE Web site. nsse.iub.edu/links/institutional_reporting

Class and Sample
Means are reported for first-year students and seniors. Institutionreported class levels are used. All randomly selected or censusadministered students are included in these analyses. Students in targeted or locally administered oversamples are not included.

Mean
The mean is the weighted arithmetic average of the student level benchmark scores.

Benchmark Description \& Survey Items
A description of the
benchmark and the individual items used in its creation is provided.

## Statistical Significance

Benchmarks with mean differences that are larger than would be expected by chance alone are noted with one, two, or three asterisks, denoting one of three significance levels ( $\mathrm{p}<.05, \mathrm{p}<.01$, and $\mathrm{p}<.001$ ). The smaller the significance level, the smaller the likelihood that the difference is due to chance. Please note that statistical significance does not guarantee that the result is substantive or important. Large sample sizes (as with the NSSE project) tend to produce more statistically significant results even though the magnitude of mean differences may be inconsequential. Consult effect sizes to judge the practical meaning of the results.

## Effect Size ${ }^{\text {a }}$

Effect size indicates the practical significance of the mean difference. It is calculated by dividing the mean difference by the pooled standard deviation. In practice, an effect size of .2 is often considered small, .5 moderate, and .8 large. A positive sign indicates that your institution's mean was greater, thus showing an affirmative result for the institution. A negative sign indicates the institution lags behind the comparison group, suggesting that the student behavior or institutional practice represented by the item may warrant attention.

## Box and Whiskers Charts

A visual display of first-year and senior benchmark score dispersion for your institution and your selected comparison or consortium groups.

## Level of Academic Challenge (LAC)

| Mean Comparisons | WMU | Western Michigan University compared with: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Research High |  |  | Carnegie Class |  | Effect <br> Size ${ }^{\circ}$ | NSSE 2011 |  |  |
|  |  |  | Peer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mean ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sig ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Effect } \\ & \text { Size }{ }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | Mean ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $s i g^{\text {b }}$ |  | Mean ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sig ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Effect Size ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ( |
| First-Year | 52.4 | 52.4 |  | . 00 | 53.4 | * | -. 08 | 53.7 | ** | -. 10 |
| Senior | 56.9 | 56.3 |  | . 04 | 56.7 |  | . 01 | 57.4 |  | -. 04 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Weighted by gender and enrollment status (and by institution size for comparison groups).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} * \mathrm{p}<.05{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<.01$ *** $\mathrm{p}<.001$ (2-tailed).
${ }^{c}$ Mean difference divided by the pooled standard deviation.

## Distributions of Student Benchmark Scores



Note: Each box and whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot shows the benchmark mean. See page 2 for an illustration. See pages 10 and 11 for percentile values.

## Level of Academic Challenge (LAC) Items

Challenging intellectual and creative work is central to student learning and collegiate quality. Colleges and universities promote high levels of student achievement by emphasizing the importance of academic effort and setting high expectations for student performance.

- Hours spent preparing for class (studying, reading, writing, doing homework or lab work, etc. related to academic program)
- Number of assigned textbooks, books, or book-length packs of course readings
- Number of written papers or reports of 20 pages or more, between 5 and 19 pages, and fewer than 5 pages
- Coursework emphasizes: Analysis of the basic elements of an idea, experience or theory
- Coursework emphasizes: Synthesis and organizing of ideas, information, or experiences into new, more complex interpretations and relationships
- Coursework emphasizes: Making of judgments about the value of information, arguments, or methods
- Coursework emphasizes: Applying theories or concepts to practical problems or in new situations
- Working harder than you thought you could to meet an instructor's standards or expectations
- Campus environment emphasizes: Spending significant amount of time studying and on academic work


## Active and Collaborative Learning (ACL)

## Mean Comparisons

Western Michigan University compared with:

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Weighted by gender and enrollment status (and by institution size for comparison groups).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} * \mathrm{p}<.05{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<.01$ *** $\mathrm{p}<.001$ (2-tailed).
${ }^{c}$ Mean difference divided by the pooled standard deviation.

## Distributions of Student Benchmark Scores



Note: Each box and whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot shows the benchmark mean. See page 2 for an illustration. See pages 10 and 11 for percentile values.

## Active and Collaborative Learning (ACL) Items

Students learn more when they are intensely involved in their education and asked to think about what they are learning in different settings. Collaborating with others in solving problems or mastering difficult material prepares students for the messy, unscripted problems they will encounter daily during and after college.

- Asked questions in class or contributed to class discussions
- Made a class presentation
- Worked with other students on projects during class
- Worked with classmates outside of class to prepare class assignments
- Tutored or taught other students (paid or voluntary)
- Participated in a community-based project (e.g., service learning) as part of a regular course
- Discussed ideas from your readings or classes with others outside of class (students, family members, co-workers, etc.)


## Student-Faculty Interaction (SFI)

## Mean Comparisons Western Michigan University compared with:

|  |  | Res | arch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WMU |  | Pee |  |  | gie C |  |  | 201 |  |
| Class | Mean ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sig ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Effect } \\ & \text { Size } \end{aligned}$ | Mean ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sig ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Effect } \\ & \text { Size }{ }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | Mean ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sig ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Effect }}{ }_{\text {Size }}{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| First-Year | 34.4 | 33.0 | * | . 08 | 33.4 |  | . 06 | 34.4 |  | . 00 |
| Senior | 39.4 | 40.1 |  | -. 04 | 40.9 | * | -. 07 | 42.0 | ** | -. 12 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Weighted by gender and enrollment status (and by institution size for comparison groups).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<.05{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<.01{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<.001$ (2-tailed).
${ }^{c}$ Mean difference divided by the pooled standard deviation.

## Distributions of Student Benchmark Scores

$100 \xrightarrow{\text { First-Year }}$



0
WMU Research High Peers Carnegie Class NSSE 2011

Note: Each box and whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot shows the benchmark mean. See page 2 for an illustration. See pages 10 and 11 for percentile values.

## Student-Faculty Interaction (SFI) Items

Students learn firsthand how experts think about and solve practical problems by interacting with faculty members inside and outside the classroom. As a result, their teachers become role models, mentors, and guides for continuous, life-long learning.

- Discussed grades or assignments with an instructor
- Talked about career plans with a faculty member or advisor
- Discussed ideas from your readings or classes with faculty members outside of class
- Worked with faculty members on activities other than coursework (committees, orientation, student-life activities, etc.)
- Received prompt written or oral feedback from faculty on your academic performance
- Worked on a research project with a faculty member outside of course or program requirements
national survey of


## Enriching Educational Experiences (EEE)

| Mean Comparisons | WMU | Western Michigan University compared with: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Research High |  |  | Carnegie Class |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Effect } \\ & \text { Sive } \end{aligned}$ | NSSE 2011 |  |  |
|  |  | Peers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mean ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | sig ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Effect } \\ & \text { Size } \end{aligned}$ | Mean ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $s i{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Mean ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sig ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Effect }}^{\text {Size }}$ |
| First-Year | 26.8 | 27.2 |  | -. 03 | 28.0 | ** | -. 10 | 27.8 | * | -. 07 |
| Senior | 37.2 | 39.9 | *** | -. 15 | 40.0 | *** | -. 15 | 40.4 | *** | -. 18 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Weighted by gender and enrollment status (and by institution size for comparison groups).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} * \mathrm{p}<.05{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<.01$ *** $\mathrm{p}<.001$ (2-tailed).
${ }^{c}$ Mean difference divided by the pooled standard deviation.
Distributions of Student Benchmark Scores


Note: Each box and whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot shows the benchmark mean. See page 2 for an illustration. See pages 10 and 11 for percentile values.

## Enriching Educational Experiences (EEE) Items

Complementary learning opportunities enhance academic programs. Diversity experiences teach students valuable things about themselves and others. Technology facilitates collaboration between peers and instructors. Internships, community service, and senior capstone courses provide opportunities to integrate and apply knowledge.

- Hours spent participating in co-curricular activities (organizations, campus publications, student gov., social fraternity or sorority, etc.)
- Practicum, internship, field experience, co-op experience, or clinical assignment
- Community service or volunteer work
- Foreign language coursework and study abroad
- Independent study or self-designed major
- Culminating senior experience (capstone course, senior project or thesis, comprehensive exam, etc.)
- Serious conversations with students of different religious beliefs, political opinions, or personal values
- Serious conversations with students of a different race or ethnicity than your own
- Using electronic medium (e.g., listserv, chat group, Internet, instant messaging, etc.) to discuss or complete an assignment
- Campus environment encouraging contact among students from different economic, social, and racial or ethnic backgrounds
- Participate in a learning community or some other formal program where groups of students take two or more classes together
national survey of


## Supportive Campus Environment (SCE)

## Mean Comparisons

Western Michigan University compared with:

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Weighted by gender and enrollment status (and by institution size for comparison groups).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} * \mathrm{p}<.05{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<.01$ *** $\mathrm{p}<.001$ (2-tailed).
${ }^{c}$ Mean difference divided by the pooled standard deviation.

## Distributions of Student Benchmark Scores
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Note: Each box and whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot shows the benchmark mean. See page 2 for an illustration. See pages 10 and 11 for percentile values.

## Supportive Campus Environment (SCE) Items

Students perform better and are more satisfied at colleges that are committed to their success and cultivate positive working and social relations among different groups on campus.

- Campus environment provides the support you need to help you succeed academically
- Campus environment helps you cope with your non-academic responsibilities (work, family, etc.)
- Campus environment provides the support you need to thrive socially
- Quality of relationships with other students
- Quality of relationships with faculty members
- Quality of relationships with administrative personnel and offices

NSSE
national survey of

## NSSE 2011 Benchmark Comparisons With Highly Engaging Institutions

 student engagement
## Interpreting the Top 10\% and Top 50\% Comparisons

This section of the NSSE Benchmark Comparisons report allows you to estimate the performance of your average student in relation to the average student attending two different institutional peer groups identified by NSSE for their high levels of student engagement: (a) institutions with benchmark scores placing them in the top $50 \%$ of all NSSE schools in 2011 and (b) institutions with benchmark scores in the top $10 \%$ for 2011. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ These comparisons allow an institution to determine if the engagement of their students differs in significant, meaningful ways from students in these high performing peer groups.

## Example

|  |  | NSSEville <br> State <br> Mean | NSSEville State compared with |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NSSE } 2011 \\ & \text { Top 50\% } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { NSSE } 2011 \\ \text { Top } 10 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Mean | Sig | Effect size | Mean | Sig | Effect size |
|  | LAC | 57.1 | 55.8 | + | . 10 | 60.5 | *** | -0.28 |
| ฮู | ACL | 50.3 | 45.8 | *** | . 28 | 50.7 |  | -0.02 |
| $\pm$ | SFI | 37.3 | 37.2 |  | . 01 | 42.0 | *** | -0.24 |
| , | EEE | 21.8 | 30.0 | *** | -. 63 | 34.4 | *** | -0.98 |
|  | SCE | 60.9 | 64.7 | *** | -. 21 | 69.7 | *** | -0.49 |

## Based on the example above NSSEville State CAN conclude...

- The average score for NSSEville State first-year students is slightly above (i.e., small positive effect size) that of the average student attending NSSE 2011 schools that scored in the top $50 \%$ on Level of Academic Challenge (LAC).
- The average NSSEville State first-year student is as engaged (i.e., not significantly different) as the average student attending NSSE 2011 schools that scored in the top $10 \%$ on Active and Collaborative Learning (ACL).
- It is likely that NSSEville State is in the top 50\% of all NSSE 2011 schools for first-year students on Level of Academic Challenge (LAC) and Active and Collaborative Learning (ACL). ${ }^{\text {a }}$


## Based on the example above NSSEville State CANNOT conclude ${ }^{\text {a }}$..

- NSSEville State is in the top half of all schools on the Student-Faculty Interaction (SFI) benchmark for first-year students.
- NSSEville State is a "top ten percent" institution on Active and Collaborative Learning (ACL) for first-year students.

Additional information regarding the Top $50 \%$ and Top $10 \%$ section of the benchmark report can be found on the NSSE Web site. nsse.iub.edu/links/institutional_reporting

[^0]NSSE
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## Legend

This display compares your students with those attending schools that scored in the top $50 \%$ and top $10 \%$ of all NSSE 2011 institutions on a particular benchmark.


Note: Each box and whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot shows the benchmark mean. See page 2 for an illustration. See pages 10 and 11 for percentile values.

[^1]NSSE
national survey of student engagement

## NSSE 2011 Benchmark Comparisons Detailed Statistics and Effect Sizes ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Western Michigan University

|  | First-Year Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean Statistics |  |  | Distribution Statistics |  |  |  |  | Reference Group Comparison Statistics |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Percentiles ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  | Deg. of | Mean |  | Effect |
|  | Mean | SD ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | SEM $^{\text {c }}$ | 5th | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th | Freedom ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Diff. | Sig. ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | size ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |
| LEVEL OF ACADEMIC CHALLENGE (LAC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WMU ( $\mathrm{N}=742$ ) | 52.4 | 12.3 | . 5 | 31 | 44 | 53 | 62 | 72 |  |  |  |  |
| Research High Peers | 52.4 | 13.4 | . 2 | 30 | 44 | 52 | 62 | 74 | 1,022 | . 0 | . 926 | . 00 |
| Carnegie Class | 53.4 | 13.2 | . 1 | 31 | 45 | 53 | 62 | 75 | 801 | -1.0 | . 029 | -. 08 |
| NSSE 2011 | 53.7 | 13.3 | . 0 | 32 | 45 | 54 | 63 | 75 | 751 | -1.3 | . 004 | -. 10 |
| Top 50\% | 56.7 | 12.9 | . 1 | 35 | 48 | 57 | 66 | 77 | 50,848 | -4.3 | . 000 | -. 34 |
| Top 10\% | 60.6 | 12.4 | . 1 | 40 | 52 | 61 | 69 | 80 | 8,194 | -8.3 | . 000 | -. 67 |
| ACTIVE AND COLLABORATIVE LEARNING (ACL) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WMU ( $\mathrm{N}=826$ ) | 41.7 | 15.9 | . 6 | 19 | 29 | 38 | 52 | 71 |  |  |  |  |
| Research High Peers | 40.3 | 16.5 | . 2 | 17 | 29 | 38 | 50 | 71 | 6,346 | 1.4 | . 023 | . 08 |
| Carnegie Class | 42.3 | 16.6 | . 1 | 19 | 29 | 42 | 52 | 71 | 24,843 | -. 5 | . 349 | -. 03 |
| NSSE 2011 | 43.2 | 16.9 | . 0 | 19 | 33 | 43 | 52 | 71 | 835 | -1.4 | . 010 | -. 08 |
| Top 50\% | 48.0 | 16.8 | . 1 | 24 | 38 | 48 | 57 | 76 | 861 | -6.3 | . 000 | -. 37 |
| Top 10\% | 52.1 | 17.9 | . 2 | 24 | 38 | 52 | 62 | 83 | 1,051 | -10.4 | . 000 | -. 59 |
| STUDENT-FACULTY INTERACTION (SFI) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WMU ( $\mathrm{N}=756$ ) | 34.4 | 18.5 | . 7 | 11 | 22 | 33 | 44 | 72 |  |  |  |  |
| Research High Peers | 33.0 | 18.0 | . 3 | 11 | 22 | 28 | 44 | 67 | 5,757 | 1.4 | . 048 | . 08 |
| Carnegie Class | 33.4 | 18.2 | . 1 | 11 | 22 | 28 | 44 | 67 | 22,760 | 1.0 | . 126 | . 06 |
| NSSE 2011 | 34.4 | 18.6 | . 0 | 11 | 22 | 33 | 44 | 72 | 138,885 | . 0 | . 990 | . 00 |
| Top 50\% | 39.3 | 19.3 | . 1 | 11 | 27 | 39 | 50 | 78 | 37,529 | -4.9 | . 000 | -. 25 |
| Top 10\% | 43.7 | 21.3 | . 3 | 11 | 28 | 40 | 56 | 83 | 1,003 | -9.2 | . 000 | -. 44 |
| ENRICHING EDUCATIONAL EXPERIENCES (EEE) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WMU ( $\mathrm{N}=711$ ) | 26.8 | 12.3 | . 5 | 8 | 18 | 26 | 35 | 49 |  |  |  |  |
| Research High Peers | 27.2 | 13.5 | . 2 | 8 | 17 | 26 | 35 | 51 | 986 | -. 4 | . 412 | -. 03 |
| Carnegie Class | 28.0 | 13.4 | . 1 | 8 | 19 | 27 | 36 | 51 | 768 | -1.3 | . 006 | -. 10 |
| NSSE 2011 | 27.8 | 13.5 | . 0 | 8 | 18 | 26 | 36 | 51 | 719 | -1.0 | . 030 | -. 07 |
| Top 50\% | 30.5 | 13.3 | . 1 | 11 | 21 | 29 | 39 | 53 | 729 | -3.8 | . 000 | -. 28 |
| Top 10\% | 33.7 | 13.9 | . 1 | 12 | 24 | 33 | 43 | 57 | 844 | -6.9 | . 000 | -. 50 |
| SUPPORTIVE CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT (SCE) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WMU ( $\mathrm{N}=687$ ) | 61.5 | 18.1 | . 7 | 31 | 50 | 61 | 75 | 92 |  |  |  |  |
| Research High Peers | 60.1 | 18.9 | . 3 | 28 | 47 | 61 | 72 | 92 | 5,364 | 1.4 | . 061 | . 08 |
| Carnegie Class | 61.5 | 18.9 | . 1 | 31 | 50 | 61 | 75 | 94 | 21,367 | . 0 | . 969 | . 00 |
| NSSE 2011 | 62.7 | 19.0 | . 1 | 31 | 50 | 64 | 75 | 94 | 130,372 | -1.2 | . 101 | -. 06 |
| Top 50\% | 67.4 | 18.4 | . 1 | 36 | 56 | 69 | 81 | 97 | 42,182 | -5.8 | . 000 | -. 32 |
| Top 10\% | 71.2 | 18.0 | . 2 | 39 | 58 | 72 | 83 | 100 | 8,141 | -9.7 | . 000 | -. 54 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ All statistics are weighted by gender and enrollment status. Comparison group statistics are also weighted by institutional size.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Standard deviation is a measure of the amount the individual scores deviate from the mean of all the scores in the distribution.
' Standard Error of the Mean: Use SEM to compute a confidence interval (CI) around the sample mean. For example, the $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ is the range of values that is $95 \%$ likely to contain the true population mean, equal to the sample mean $+/-1.96$ * SEM
${ }^{d}$ A percentile is the point in the distribution of student-level benchmark scores at or below which a given percentage of benchmark scores fall.
${ }^{e}$ Degrees of freedom used to compute the $t$-tests. Values vary for the total $N s$ due to weighting and whether equal variances were assumed.
${ }^{\text {' }}$ Statistical significance represents the probability that the difference between the mean of your institution and that of the comparison group occurred by chance.
${ }^{5}$ Effect size is calculated by subtracting the comparison group mean from the school mean, and dividing the result by the pooled standard deviation.
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## NSSE 2011 Benchmark Comparisons Detailed Statistics and Effect Sizes ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Western Michigan University

|  | Seniors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean Statistics |  |  | Distribution Statistics |  |  |  |  | Reference Group Comparison Statistics |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Percentiles ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  | Deg. of | Mean |  |  |
|  | Mean | SD ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | SEM ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 5th | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th | Freedom ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Diff. | Sig. ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | size ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |
| LEVEL OF ACADEMIC CHALLENGE (LAC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WMU ( $\mathrm{N}=1072$ ) | 56.9 | 14.5 | . 4 | 33 | 48 | 57 | 66 | 80 |  |  |  |  |
| Research High Peers | 56.3 | 14.2 | . 2 | 32 | 47 | 57 | 66 | 79 | 8,649 | . 6 | . 208 | . 04 |
| Carnegie Class | 56.7 | 14.2 | . 1 | 33 | 47 | 57 | 67 | 79 | 41,693 | . 2 | . 671 | . 01 |
| NSSE 2011 | 57.4 | 14.2 | . 0 | 33 | 48 | 58 | 67 | 80 | 226,514 | -. 5 | . 250 | -. 04 |
| Top 50\% | 60.5 | 13.6 | . 1 | 37 | 51 | 61 | 70 | 82 | 1,101 | -3.6 | . 000 | -. 26 |
| Top 10\% | 64.1 | 13.0 | . 1 | 42 | 56 | 65 | 73 | 84 | 1,241 | -7.2 | . 000 | -. 55 |
| ACTIVE AND COLLABORATIVE LEARNING (ACL) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WMU ( $\mathrm{N}=1132$ ) | 50.6 | 16.9 | . 5 | 24 | 38 | 48 | 62 | 81 |  |  |  |  |
| Research High Peers | 49.9 | 17.6 | . 2 | 24 | 38 | 48 | 62 | 81 | 1,492 | . 7 | . 203 | . 04 |
| Carnegie Class | 50.5 | 17.7 | . 1 | 24 | 38 | 48 | 62 | 81 | 1,197 | . 1 | . 879 | . 00 |
| NSSE 2011 | 51.3 | 17.7 | . 0 | 24 | 38 | 52 | 62 | 81 | 1,143 | -. 7 | . 164 | -. 04 |
| Top 50\% | 56.2 | 17.1 | . 1 | 29 | 43 | 57 | 67 | 86 | 64,998 | -5.6 | . 000 | -. 33 |
| Top 10\% | 60.1 | 17.8 | . 2 | 33 | 48 | 62 | 71 | 90 | 1,377 | -9.6 | . 000 | -. 54 |
| STUDENT-FACULTY INTERACTION (SFI) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WMU ( $\mathrm{N}=1076$ ) | 39.4 | 20.0 | . 6 | 11 | 22 | 33 | 50 | 78 |  |  |  |  |
| Research High Peers | 40.1 | 20.9 | . 2 | 11 | 22 | 39 | 53 | 83 | 8,716 | -. 8 | . 261 | -. 04 |
| Carnegie Class | 40.9 | 21.0 | . 1 | 11 | 27 | 39 | 56 | 83 | 1,139 | -1.6 | . 012 | -. 07 |
| NSSE 2011 | 42.0 | 21.2 | . 0 | 11 | 28 | 39 | 56 | 83 | 1,086 | -2.6 | . 000 | -. 12 |
| Top 50\% | 49.3 | 21.7 | . 1 | 17 | 33 | 50 | 67 | 89 | 1,133 | -10.0 | . 000 | -. 46 |
| Top 10\% | 56.0 | 22.5 | . 3 | 22 | 39 | 56 | 72 | 94 | 1,494 | -16.6 | . 000 | -. 75 |
| ENRICHING EDUCATIONAL EXPERIENCES (EEE) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WMU ( $\mathrm{N}=1045$ ) | 37.2 | 17.0 | . 5 | 11 | 25 | 36 | 48 | 67 |  |  |  |  |
| Research High Peers | 39.9 | 18.0 | . 2 | 12 | 26 | 39 | 52 | 71 | 1,396 | -2.7 | . 000 | -. 15 |
| Carnegie Class | 40.0 | 18.1 | . 1 | 11 | 26 | 39 | 52 | 71 | 1,107 | -2.7 | . 000 | -. 15 |
| NSSE 2011 | 40.4 | 18.2 | . 0 | 12 | 27 | 40 | 53 | 72 | 1,055 | -3.2 | . 000 | -. 18 |
| Top 50\% | 46.7 | 17.8 | . 1 | 17 | 34 | 47 | 59 | 76 | 84,846 | -9.4 | . 000 | -. 53 |
| Top 10\% | 55.3 | 16.6 | . 2 | 26 | 44 | 56 | 67 | 82 | 11,570 | -18.1 | . 000 | -1.09 |
| SUPPORTIVE CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT (SCE) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WMU ( $\mathrm{N}=1029$ ) | 55.5 | 19.5 | . 6 | 25 | 42 | 56 | 69 | 87 |  |  |  |  |
| Research High Peers | 55.5 | 19.4 | . 2 | 25 | 42 | 56 | 69 | 89 | 8,298 | . 1 | . 918 | . 00 |
| Carnegie Class | 57.4 | 19.6 | . 1 | 25 | 44 | 58 | 72 | 92 | 40,087 | -1.9 | . 002 | -. 10 |
| NSSE 2011 | 59.1 | 19.5 | . 0 | 25 | 47 | 58 | 72 | 92 | 218,245 | -3.6 | . 000 | -. 18 |
| Top 50\% | 64.9 | 18.9 | . 1 | 33 | 53 | 67 | 78 | 97 | 61,921 | -9.4 | . 000 | -. 49 |
| Top 10\% | 68.7 | 18.6 | . 2 | 36 | 56 | 69 | 83 | 100 | 11,473 | -13.2 | . 000 | -. 71 |

[^2]${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Standard deviation is a measure of the amount the individual scores deviate from the mean of all the scores in the distribution
${ }^{\text {E }}$ Standard Error of the Mean: Use SEM to compute a confidence interval (CI) around the sample mean. For example, the $95 \%$ CI is the range of values that is $95 \%$ likely to contain the true population mean, equal to the sample mean $+/-1.96$ * SEM
${ }^{d}$ A percentile is the point in the distribution of student-level benchmark scores at or below which a given percentage of benchmark scores fall.
${ }^{e}$ Degrees of freedom used to compute the t-tests. Values vary for the total Ns due to weighting and whether equal variances were assumed.
${ }^{f}$ Statistical significance represents the probability that the difference between the mean of your institution and that of the comparison group occurred by chance.
${ }^{\mathfrak{g}}$ Effect size is calculated by subtracting the comparison group mean from the school mean, and dividing the result by the pooled standard deviation.


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Precision-weighted means (produced by Hierarchical Linear Modeling) were used to determine the top 50\% and top $10 \%$ institutions for each benchmark, separately for first-year and senior students. Using this method, benchmark scores of institutions with relatively large standard errors are adjusted substantially toward the grand mean of all students, while those with smaller standard errors receive smaller corrections. Thus, schools with less stable data, though they may have high scores, may not be identified among the top scorers. NSSE does not publish the names of the top $50 \%$ and top $10 \%$ institutions because of our commitment not to release individual school results and our policy against the ranking of institutions.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Weighted by gender and enroll. status (and by inst. size for comp. groups).
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} * \mathrm{p}<.05^{* *} \mathrm{p}<.01{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<.001$ (2-tailed).
    ${ }^{c}$ Mean diff. divided by the pooled standard dev.

[^2]:    All statistics are weighted by gender and enrollment status. Comparison group statistics are also weighted by institutional size.

