2022-2023 Effectivenss Ratings for WMU Prepared Teachers

All Completers

Number and percent of WMU graduates rated at each effectiveness level by years of teaching experience

		Y	ears of Teac	hing Experienc	e	
	1 Y	1 Year		ears	3 Years	
Rating	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
Highly Effective	22	9%	35	21%	42	30%
Effective	203	86%	126	77%	95	67%
Minimally Effective	10	4%	3	2%	4	3%
Ineffective	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total	235		164		141	
Combined percent rated effective or highly effective	96%		98%		97%	

Source: Michigan Department of Education

2022-2023 Effectivenss Ratings for WMU Prepared Teachers with

Early Childhood, Elementary Education, and Special Education Endorsements

Number and percent of WMU graduates rated at each effectiveness level by years of teaching experience

		Υ	ears of Teac	hing Experienc	e	
	1 Y	ear	2 years		3 Years	
Rating	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
Highly Effective	9	10%	12	19%	17	31%
Effective	74	83%	49	79%	33	61%
Minimally Effective	6	7%	1	2%	4	7%
Ineffective	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total	89		164		141	
Combined percent rated						
effective or highly effective	93	3%	9	8%	9:	3%

Source: Michigan Department of Education

2022-2023 Effectivenss Ratings for WMU Prepared Teachers with Secondary (6-12 and K-12) Endorsements

Number and percent of WMU graduates rated at each effectiveness level by years of teaching experience

by years or teaching experience									
		Υ	ears of Teac	hing Experienc	e				
	1 Year		2 years		3 Y	ears			
Rating	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage			
Highly Effective	4	4%	22	23%	24	28%			
Effective	101	94%	72	75%	61	72%			
Minimally Effective	2	2%	2	2%	0	0%			
Ineffective	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
Total	235		164		141				
Combined percent rated effective or highly effective			98%		100%				

2021-2022 Effectivenss Ratings for WMU Prepared Teachers

All Certificate Types

Number and percent of WMU graduates rated at each effectiveness level by years of teaching experience

	Years of Teaching Experience						
	1 Y	1 Year		2 years		'ears	
Rating	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	
Highly Effective	1	3%	17	17%	17	15%	
Effective	35	92%	77	76%	91	82%	
Minimally Effective	2	2%	7	7%	2	2%	
Ineffective	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	
Total	38		101		111		
Combined percent rated effective or highly effective	95%		93%		97%		

Source: Michigan Department of Education

2021-2022 Effectivenss Ratings for WMU Prepared Teachers with

Elementary Base Certificates

Number and percent of WMU graduates rated at each effectiveness level by years of teaching experience

		Y	ears of Teac	hing Experienc	e	
	1 Y	1 Year		2 years		'ears
Rating	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
Highly Effective	1	6%	10	27%	7	17%
Effective	15	88%	24	65%	33	80%
Minimally Effective	1	6%	3	8%	1	2%
Ineffective	0	0%		0%	0	0%
Total	17		37		41	
Combined percent rated	94%		020/		070/	
effective or highly effective	94	470	92%		97%	

Source: Michigan Department of Education

2021-2022 Effectivenss Ratings for WMU Prepared Teachers with

Secondary Base Certificates

Number and percent of WMU graduates rated at each effectiveness level by years of teaching experience

		١	ears of Teac	hing Experienc	e	
	1 Y	1 Year		2 years		ears
Rating	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
Highly Effective	0	0%	7	12%	10	15%
Effective	20	95%	49	82%	55	82%
Minimally Effective	1	5%	4	7%	1	1%
Ineffective	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%
Total	21		60		67	
Combined percent rated	95%		0.40/		070/	
effective or highly effective	9:	570	94%		97%	

2020-2021 Effectivenss Ratings for WMU Prepared Teachers

All Certificate Types

Number and percent of WMU graduates rated at each effectiveness level by years of teaching experience

		١	ears of Teac	hing Experienc	е	
	1 Y	1 Year		2 years		ears
Rating	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
Highly Effective	23	14%	22	19%	49	30%
Effective	137	85%	95	80%	106	67%
Minimally Effective	2	1%	1	1%	4	3%
Ineffective	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total	162		118		159	
Combined percent rated	99%		000/		070/	
effective or highly effective	9:	J/0	99%		97%	

Source: Michigan Department of Education

2020-2021 Effectivenss Ratings for WMU Prepared Teachers with

Elementary Base Certificates

Number and percent of WMU graduates rated at each effectiveness level by years of teaching experience

all leave at tension. General and								
	Years of Teaching Experience							
	1 Year		2 years		3 Years			
Rating	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage		
Highly Effective	13	19%	9	17%	29	39%		
Effective	55	80%	43	83%	43	58%		
Minimally Effective	1	1%	0	0%	2	3%		
Ineffective	0	0%	0		0	0%		
Total	69		52		74			
Combined percent rated	99%		100%		97%			
effective or highly effective	9:	<i>37</i> 0	100%		9770			

Source: Michigan Department of Education

2020-2021 Effectivenss Ratings for WMU Prepared Teachers with

Secondary Base Certificates

Number and percent of WMU graduates rated at each effectiveness level by years of teaching experience

		Years of Teaching Experience							
	1 Y	1 Year		2 years		ears			
Rating	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage			
Highly Effective	10	11%	13	20%	20	24%			
Effective	82	88%	52	79%	63	74%			
Minimally Effective	1	1%	1	2%	2	2%			
Ineffective	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
Total	93		66		85				
Combined percent rated effective or highly effective	99%		99%		98%				

2019-2020 Effectivenss Ratings for WMU Prepared Teachers All Certificate Types

Number and percent of WMU graduates rated at each effectiveness level by years of teaching experience

		Υ	ears of Teac	hing Experienc	e	
	1 Year		2 years		3 Years	
Rating	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
Highly Effective	8	7%	24	15%	44	26%
Effective	98	89%	135	83%	124	73%
Minimally Effective	3	3%	3	2%	1	1%
Ineffective	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%
Total	110		163		169	
Combined percent rated	96%		000/		000/	
effective or highly effective	9	070	98%		99%	

Source: Michigan Department of Education

2019-2020 Effectivenss Ratings for WMU Prepared Teachers with Elementary Base Certificates

Number and percent of WMU graduates rated at each effectiveness level by years of teaching experience

		Υ	ears of Tead	hing Experienc	e			
	1 Year		2 years		3 Years			
Rating	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage		
Highly Effective	3	6%	15	18%	18	20%		
Effective	47	90%	67	81%	73	79%		
Minimally Effective	2	4%	1	1%	1	1%		
Ineffective	0	0%	0		0			
Total	52		83		92			
Combined percent rated effective or highly effective	96%		99%		99%			

Source: Michigan Department of Education

2019-2020 Effectivenss Ratings for WMU Prepared Teachers with Secondary Base Certificates

Number and percent of WMU graduates rated at each effectiveness level by years of teaching experience

		Y	ears of Teac	hing Experienc	e		
	1 Y	1 Year		2 years		3 Years	
Rating	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	
Highly Effective	5	9%	9	11%	26	34%	
Effective	51	88%	68	85%	51	66%	
Minimally Effective	1	2%	2	3%	0	0%	
Ineffective	1	2%	1	1%	0	0%	
Total	58		80		77		
Combined percent rated effective or highly effective	97%		96%		100%		

2018-2019 Effectivenss Ratings for WMU Prepared Teachers All Certificate Types

Number and percent of WMU graduates in first 3 years of teaching in Michigan rated at each effectiveness level by certificate type

, ,,									
		Certificate Type							
	Elen	nentary	Sec	ondary	Total				
Rating	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage			
Highly Effective	83	21%	72	23%	115	22%			
Effective	303	76%	232	74%	535	75%			
Minimally Effective	11	3%	9	3%	20	3%			
Ineffective	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
Total	397	100%	313	100%	710	100%			
Combined percent rated effective or highly effective	9/%		97%		97%				

Source: Michigan Department of Education

Note: The Michigan Department of Education changed the way they reported teacher effectiveness to EPPs for the 2018-19 school year. Therefore, we are unable to disaggregate data by years of teaching experience for 2018-19 as we have in previous years.

2017-2018 Effectivenss Ratings for WMU Prepared Teachers All Certificate Types

Number and percent of WMU graduates rated at each effectiveness level by years of teaching experience

	Years of Teaching Experience							
	1 Y	'ear	2 years		3 Years			
Rating	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage		
Highly Effective	19	8%	36	20%	51	24%		
Effective	191	85%	141	78%	154	73%		
Minimally Effective	13	6%	3	2%	6	3%		
Ineffective	3	1%	0	0%	1	0%		
Total	226	100%	180	100%	212	100%		
Combined percent rated	0	93%		0.997		97%		
effective or highly effective	9.	3/0	98%		91%			

Source: Michigan Department of Education

2017-2018 Effectivenss Ratings for WMU Prepared Teachers with Elementary Base Certificates

Number and percent of WMU graduates rated at each effectiveness level by years of teaching experience

	Years of Teaching Experience							
	1 Y	1 Year		2 years		ears		
Rating	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage		
Highly Effective	9	8%	20	22%	31	26%		
Effective	98	83%	70	76%	85	70%		
Minimally Effective	8	7%	2	2%	4	3%		
Ineffective	3	3%	0	0%	1	1%		
Total	118	100%	92	100%	121	100%		
Combined percent rated effective or highly effective	91%		98%		96%			

Source: Michigan Department of Education

2017-2018 Effectivenss Ratings for WMU Prepared Teachers with Secondary Base Certificates

Number and percent of WMU graduates rated at each effectiveness level by years of teaching experience

		Years of Teaching Experience							
	1 Y	'ear	2 years		3 Years				
Rating	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage			
Highly Effective	10	9%	16	18%	20	22%			
Effective	93	86%	71	81%	69	76%			
Minimally Effective	5	5%	1	1%	2	2%			
Ineffective	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
Total	108	100%	88	100%	91	100%			
Combined percent rated	95%		000/		98%				
effective or highly effective	9.	J/0	99%		96%				

2016-2017 Effectivenss Ratings for WMU Prepared Teachers All Certificate Types

Number and percent of WMU graduates rated at each effectiveness level by years of teaching experience

		Years of Teaching Experience						
	1 Y	'ear	2 y	ears	3 Years			
Rating	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage		
Highly Effective	19	11%	31	17%	7	31%		
Effective	144	86%	152	82%	103	67%		
Minimally Effective	5	3%	2	1%	2	1%		
Ineffective	0	0%	1	0%	1	1%		
Total	168	100%	186	100%	113	100%		
Combined percent rated effective or highly effective	q	97%		98%		98%		

Source: Michigan Department of Education

2016-2017 Effectivenss Ratings for WMU Prepared Teachers with Elementary Base Certificates

Number and percent of WMU graduates rated at each effectiveness level by years of teaching experience

Years of Teaching Experience							
	1 Year		2 years		3 Years		
Rating	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	
Highly Effective	9	11%	22	20%	31	35%	
Effective	74	88%	84	78%	55	63%	
Minimally Effective	1	1%	1	1%	2	2%	
Ineffective	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	
Total	84	100%	108	100%	88	100%	
Combined percent rated	99%		000/		000/		
effective or highly effective	91	9%	98%		98%		

Source: Michigan Department of Education

2016-2017 Effectivenss Ratings for WMU Prepared Teachers with Secondary Base Certificates

Number and percent of WMU graduates rated at each effectiveness level by years of teaching experience

		Years of Teaching Experience							
	1 Y	'ear	2 years		3 Years				
Rating	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage			
Highly Effective	10	12%	9	12%	16	25%			
Effective	70	83%	68	87%	48	74%			
Minimally Effective	4	5%	1	1%	1	2%			
Ineffective	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
Total	84	100%	78	100%	65	100%			
Combined percent rated effective or highly effective	q	95%		99%		98%			

2015-2016 Effectivenss Ratings for WMU Prepared Teachers All Certificate Types

Number and percent of WMU graduates rated at each effectiveness level by years of teaching experience

		Years of Teaching Experience						
	1 Y	1 Year		2 years		ears		
Rating	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage		
Highly Effective	24	12%	46	26%	48	28%		
Effective	170	83%	128	71%	118	69%		
Minimally Effective	10	5%	6	3%	4	2%		
Ineffective	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
Total	205	100%	180	100%	170	100%		
Combined percent rated	95%		070/		000/			
effective or highly effective	9.	570	97%		98%			

Source: Michigan Department of Education

2015-2016 Effectivenss Ratings for WMU Prepared Teachers with Elementary Base Certificates

Number and percent of WMU graduates rated at each effectiveness level by years of teaching experience

, ,								
	Years of Teaching Experience							
	1 Y	1 Year		2 years		ears		
Rating	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage		
Highly Effective	14	12%	25	24%	27	26%		
Effective	100	83%	77	73%	76	72%		
Minimally Effective	6	5%	3	3%	2	2%		
Ineffective	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
Total	120	100%	105	100%	105	100%		
Combined percent rated	95%		070/		000/			
effective or highly effective	9	370	97%		98%			

Source: Michigan Department of Education

2015-2016 Effectivenss Ratings for WMU Prepared Teachers with Secondary Base Certificates

Number and percent of WMU graduates rated at each effectiveness level by years of teaching experience

		Years of Teaching Experience							
	1 Y	1 Year		2 years		'ears			
Rating	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage			
Highly Effective	10	12%	21	28%	21	32%			
Effective	70	82%	51	68%	42	65%			
Minimally Effective	4	5%	3	4%	2	3%			
Ineffective	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%			
Total	85	100%	75	100%	65	100%			
Combined percent rated effective or highly effective	Q,	4%	96%		97%				